CALL TO ORDER
Chair Sheehan called the meeting to order at 2:03 p.m. on Wednesday, December 5, 2018, in Conference Room A at the Honolulu Police Department Alapai Headquarters

PRESENT
Loretta A. Sheehan, Chair
Steven H. Levinson, Vice-Chair
Shannon L. Alivado, Member
Jerry Gibson, Member
Carrie K. S. Okinaga, Member
James K. S. Yuen, Executive Officer
Denise W. Wong, Deputy Corporation Counsel
Erin Marie Yamashita, Secretary

EXCUSED
Karen Chang, Member
Richard T. Grimm, Member

ALSO PRESENT
Susan Ballard, Chief of Police
John D. McCarthy, Deputy Chief of Police
Jonathon Grems, Deputy Chief of Police
Lynne Uyema, Legal Advisor

ASCERTAINMENT
Counsel Wong ascertained that a quorum was present

CHIEF OF POLICE REPORT
Chief Ballard reported the 191st Recruit Class, consists of 41 recruits, 33 male and 8 female recruits. She also reminded commissioners this is the first class in which the entire recruitment process was conducted by HPD.

Chief Ballard attended the groundbreaking ceremony for Hale Kipa in Ewa Beach and accompanied the Honolulu Police Relief Association (HPRA) in presenting the Susan Komen Foundation with a check for approximately $6,500 from the sale of pink police patches. Chief Ballard explained that a retired officer approached her with the idea of selling the patches as a fundraiser. HPRA proceeded with the project and initially ordered 250 patches which sold out in one hour. An additional 1,000 patches were purchased and sold in three days. The program will be held again next year.

A proposal to conduct a youth leadership camp for Law Enforcement Explorers has been submitted to The Deputies. Chief Ballard would like to make the leadership event an annual one. With regard to the Juvenile Services Division (JSD), every time there is a personnel movement positions are being sent back to JSD.
E-Citation Program

Chief Ballard then introduced Captain Benjamin Moszkowicz of the Traffic Division who provided commissioners with a presentation on the E-Citation program.

The pilot project has cost approximately $112,000 with minimal cost to the City because funding secured through the State Department of Transportation Reimbursable Traffic Records Grant. HPD received assistance from the Department of Budget and Fiscal Services (BFS) in selecting Thin Blue Line Reporting as vendor for the pilot project.

Captain Moszkowicz explained the citation process is owned by the Judiciary, and by statute, the Judiciary is responsible for determining what information is included on a citation and what happens with the citations once issued. Captain Moszkowicz then reviewed the differences between the hardcopy/officer hand-written citation and the electronic citation in which officers use an iPad, iPhone, and a wireless printer to issue citations. This allows for efficiency when issuing citations as the citation is readily and legibly viewable by Judiciary employees whereas the hand-written citations can take up to five days and be hard to read. The system also allows an officer to submit photos with the citation in addition to any observations.

Other benefits of the e-citation to HPD is the data collected which includes the number of citations issued by an officer, the type violations, and the location/intersection of the violation. Information collected can be used by supervisors for planning enforcement strategies.

Captain Moszkowicz explained the process is a nine-month process and will be conducted in urban Honolulu due to the amount of training for officers and staff at the Traffic Violations Bureau (TVB). The TVB requested the electronic citations be issued in the Honolulu Judicial District only due to training requirements.

Phase one of the program cost approximately $28,000 and included nine officers in the Traffic Division (parking enforcement officers, DUI team officers at night, and three solo-bike officers). The time period for phase one was 90 days and citations were issued only in urban Honolulu.

Phase two began in mid-November 2018 and is building up to 70 officers (35 Traffic Division and 35 patrol officers) in Districts 1, 6 and 7. In order to further test the system, all watches will be participating in order to make sure the devices works during all hours of the day. One problem that has been discovered is that officers are having problems at night with lighting.

Phase three includes a means to fund the program. Captain Moszkowicz and Mr. Calvin Ching from the Judiciary will approach the House and Senate Finance Committees as well as the Judiciary and Public Safety Chairs and present the program and its benefits to both HPD and the Judiciary.

(A copy of the presentation is attached to the minutes.)

Questions and Comments by Commissioners

Chair Sheehan asked if a person who has received a citation would be able to pay their fine online instead of in-person at the court. Captain Moszkowicz responded that the system being tested has the capability of online payments; however, that is a decision for the Judiciary and online payment is not currently available.
Questions and Comments by Commissioners (Continued)
Commissioner Gibson asked if there were states that accept online payments to which Captain Moszkowicz answered many states do accept online payments.

Chair Sheehan asked if there was a section officer’s to add their comments on the e-citation to which Captain Moszkowicz responded there is a section for officer comments/observations.

Commissioner Alivado asked how an officer would look up an individual’s information or if it would be populated through the iPad. Captain Moszkowicz explained that Phases 1 and 2 included a certain number of programming hours, which allowed for customized requests and the population, while available, was not requested for the pilot project. Currently, if an officer scans the barcode on a driver’s license the information will not be saved but will be populated into the proper section of the citation. Storing of the information would have to be done during Phase 3 and would require additional programming hours.

Commissioner Sheehan asked where the citation information is stored. Captain Moszkowicz explained there is a strict sign-on process, the vendor is NCIC compliant, and the officer’s notes, photos, and diagrams are stored on the vendor’s website.

Chair Sheehan then asked how a photograph would be taken from the vendor’s website to the Department of the Prosecuting Attorney (DPA). Captain Moszkowicz informed commissioners the DPA has approximately 40 to 50 licenses allowing deputies to retrieve information by name, license plate, or citation number. Just as an officer can see the information so can the DPA deputy, the judge, and TVB staff.

Commissioner Alivado wanted to know if the other counties were considering using e-citations because participation may have an impact on any legislative decisions. Captain Moszkowicz informed commissioners the project started in Maui and discussions with the Judiciary in Honolulu began about three years ago.

Commissioner Gibson asked if other information would be available for an officer such as an outstanding warrant. Captain Moszkowicz said that it could be included, but it was not included in Phases 1 and 2 due to the additional cost. Commissioner Gibson then asked if there was anything during the test-period that officers did not like besides the night viewing problems. Captain Moszkowicz said the vendor has been very accommodating in addressing issues within a day or two.

Chair Sheehan asked if videos taken by officers would be used as evidence for DUI cases. Captain Moszkowicz said the video capabilities are being tested and not used due to the body-worn cameras and adjustment period of officers having to learn to use new equipment. Chair Sheehan then asked Captain Moszkowicz knew what portion of the fines collected went into the general fund. Captain Moszkowicz said he does not know the exact amount but it is a substantial amount and provided an example of citations issued for use of mobile electronic devices. He informed commissioners HPD has issued 9,886 citations and each citation is $297. A special fund by Department of Transportation is the recipient of $250 from the citation and that special fund collects $3 million per year. Chief Ballard added that in the presentation to the Legislature, there will be a suggested administrative fee to the cost of the citation to support the program.
Processing of Sexual Assault Kits Update
Ms. Cathy Matsuoka, Forensic Laboratory Supervisor of the Scientific Investigation Section (SIS), provided commissioners with an update on the status of the untested sexual assault kits.

Ms. Matsuoka provided some background information to commissioners on the SIS which is the largest crime laboratory in the State of Hawaii and consists of 55 civilian employees and offers a full array of forensic services including drug analysis, trace evidence, firearms, questioned documents, and crime scene response.

Ms. Matsuoka informed commissioners that the untested sexual assault kits is a major issue nationwide. During the 2016 Legislature, the testing of the kits was a priority as well as the problems surrounding the delays. All counties in Hawaii were required to provide a count of untested kits in custody which amounted to 1,512 kits (refer to slide in presentation for breakdown by county).

The Attorney General’s Office made the HPD’s crime lab the official state liaison to CODIS, a national DNA database. Therefore, any time another county (Maui, Kauai, or Hawaii) would like a DNA profile uploaded into CODIS, the HPD has to vet the quality of the work done to generate the profile before uploading to CODIS.

Chair Sheehan asked Ms. Matsuoka about the untested kits that were destroyed. Ms. Matsuoka responded that the issue of destroyed kits has been an issue in Hawaii as well as nationwide; however, the laboratory was not involved in determining destruction of any untested sexual assault kit.

Ms. Matsuoka then reviewed Act 207 passed by the Legislature, which created very aggressive deadlines to ensure the testing of the untested sexual assault kits as well as future testing in order to make sure all sexual assault kits are tested in a timely manner to prevent the creation of backlogs.

The SIS had a staffing shortage which Ms. Matsuoka explained to commissioners and that the six employees that conduct DNA testing would not be able to process 1,500 cases. A decision was made to outsource DNA testing to a private laboratory. The outsourcing took some time due to the necessity of staff having to set up contracts and grants as well as manage grants and the vendor in order to meet the strict timelines. Ms. Matsuoka also explained that when DNA profiles were returned to SIS, staff had to vet the profiles, enter them into CODIS, and manage any hits that occurred. Within the last two years, eleven new DNA analysts have been hired, so there are now 17 trained DNA analysts in the HPD’s crime laboratory.

Ms. Matsuoka reviewed the chart which listed untested kits, number of kits tested as of September 30, 2018, number of DNA profiles uploaded to CODIS, and the number of CODIS hits.

(A copy of the presentation will be attached to the minutes.)
Questions and Comments by Commissioners

Chair Sheehan asked what determines whether or not a DNA profile will be uploaded to CODIS. Ms. Matsuoka explained that what is being looked for in a sex assault case is the perpetrator’s DNA and the factors that can affect obtaining perpetrator DNA.

Commissioner Gibson asked how long it took to process a sexual assault kit. Ms. Matsuoka responded it takes about a week at the HPD lab, and it depends on the capacity of the DNA laboratory. She explained that the private DNA vendor can process a large number of DNA cases and accepted approximately 150 cases from HPD per month. During testing at the contract lab, there is anywhere between 10 and 20 analysts touching every case going through the process, which is the reason why they could assembly line the process and process a large number of cases.

Ms. Matsuoka explained while using the contract lab it assisted in the backlog of processing cases, but the process posed a problem for prosecutors because when a case goes to trial, everyone in the assembly line receives a subpoena. At the HPD crime lab, one analyst works on the case from beginning to end because making it easier for prosecutors during a trial because there is only one witness.

Commissioner Gibson then wanted to know what happens to the sexual assault kits not uploaded to the CODIS database. Ms. Matsuoka explained that the kits not uploaded oftentimes did not have any perpetrator DNA that could be used.

Commissioner Alivado then asked if a victim was notified that there was no perpetrator DNA. Ms. Matsuoka informed commissioners that the laboratory is not involved in any kind of notification. If any notification would be made it would be by a detective assigned to the case, and she is not familiar with the notification process.

Acting Criminal Investigation Division Major Walter Ozeki informed commissioners that if there is an inquiry involving the case, notification would be made. If the question is specifically that a detective would notify a victim as part of procedure on whether there was DNA or there was no DNA present, that is not something a detective would do.

Acting Major Ozeki explained part of the initiative of Act 207 was to put a system in place for access by victims. The system should be fully operational by January 2019 and allow victims to access the system in order to retrieve information. If at case is pending, the victim would be notified, but in cases that have not been conferred with the prosecutor or accepted for prosecution no notification is made.

Commissioner Alivado then wanted to know if the contract for processing sexual assault kits was canceled due to the hiring of additional employees. Ms. Matsuoka informed commissioners that HPD continued to utilize the private vendor lab after the backlog project because sex assault kits were still coming in between the backlog and current date. As of July 1, 2018 all sexual assault kit testing has been occurring at HPD.
Questions and Comments by Commissioners (Continued)

Vice-Chair Levinson asked if the deadlines set by Act 207 were met and all testing of untested kits were completed by July 1, 2018, and beginning July 1, 2017, have all new sexual assault kits been submitted for testing pursuant to the Attorney General’s policies. Ms. Matsuoka answered that the backlog kits which required testing completion by July 1, 2018, was not met; however, the Attorney General and Legislature were aware of the delay. Quarterly progress reports were provided to the Attorney General and the Legislature who seemed confident that reasonable progress was being made. The deadline was met in September 2018, two months after the original deadline. All of the sexual assault kits received to date have been tested or are in queue to be tested. Vice-Chair Levinson then asked if kits will continue to be stored to which Ms. Matsuoka said the kits will be maintained after testing but there should not be any untested kits in the evidence room.

Chair Sheehan asked about the funding provided by the Legislature to test the backlog of kits. Ms. Matsuoka informed commissioners the Legislature funded the testing for neighbor islands. The Attorney General’s Office assisted HPD in obtaining federal grant funding. Chair Sheehan then asked about the deadline of December 31, 2016, stating at least 500 kits had to be tested. Ms. Matsuoka said that as long as HPD showed good faith and demonstrated they were hitting milestones, and delays were not the fault of HPD rather the process it was acceptable.

Chair Sheehan then asked about the current funding. Ms. Matsuoka responded HPD had to seek different funding resources in order to address the backlog, maintain progress, and to build capacity for the future. SIS has a number of federal grants that are ongoing for future capacity building, the required positions have been funded, and the Attorney General has also been involved in providing funding to keep progress going until it becomes more cost effective.

Vice-Chair Levinson requested clarification on Act 207 and whether or not there were appropriations. Ms. Matsuoka responded Act 207 had an appropriation but not to fund HPD testing. The outside agencies (Maui, Kauai, Big Island) testing received funding as well as a committee called Hawaii Sexual Assault Response and Training program (HSART), to maintain keep track of the progress of the testing of sexual assault kits. All of the testing is being conducted primarily using federal funding. Vice-Chair Levinson then commented that the Legislature was mandating testing that it was not appropriating fund for.

Commissioner Okinaga wanted to know how the prosecutions and cases have been affected, since it has been almost a year. Ms. Matsuoka said the goal was to get the kits tested and now prosecutors are inundated with information and future steps, such as follow up investigations, conferrals with the prosecutor, and prosecutions could be affected.

Chair Sheehan added that one of the purposes of Act 207 and the completion of the testing was to be able to upload into CODIS because offenders tend to cross state lines. Ms. Matsuoka agreed and said even though it could be years before a hit is received, the fact is that the information is there.
Questions and Comments by Commissioners (Continued)
Acting Major Ozeki added the emphasis of Act 207 was to get the kits tested and information into CODIS. HPD has a process to review the cases that are submitted to CODIS when DNA is recovered even though the success rate may not be positive due to the age of some of the cases. He also said HPD partners with the Sex Abuse Treatment Center (SATC) in order to locate victims, which can be a difficult process because HPD is not the entity that makes contact the process can be slow.

HPD has gone through approximately 116 cases and as the results come back from the lab cases will continue to be reviewed. Acting Major Ozeki reminded commissioners that just because there is a sample that is usable, it doesn't necessarily mean it will add anything to the case, and detectives have to take everything into consideration including victim participation.

Regarding the 191st Recruit Class, Vice-Chair Levinson cautioned on a possibility of identical twins exchanging places with each other and provided the Schatz twins as an example. Chief Ballard responded that although the twins are identical, you can tell them apart.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Commissioner Gibson made a motion to approve the November 7, 2018, meeting minutes. Vice-Chair Levinson seconded the motion.

Discussion: None.

Vote: By a unanimous vote, the motion carried.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY
None.

NEW BUSINESS
Report on Actions Taken at the Executive Session of October 17, 2018
Commissioner Okinaga reported at the Executive Session of October 17, 2018, commissioners approved the August 15, and September 19, 2018, executive session minutes and took action on two cases involving two complainants and three officers.

Complaint number 18-036 involved one complainant and two officers. The complaints of discourtesy-profanity, conduct unbecoming an officer, excessive use of force and malicious use of force against each officer was not sustained due to insufficient evidence supporting the allegations.

Complaint number 18-042 involved one complainant and one officer. The complaints of partiality and conduct unbecoming an officer were not sustained due to insufficient evidence supporting the allegations. Commissioner Okinaga made a motion to approve the report.
Report on Actions Taken at the Executive Session of October 17, 2018 (Continued)
Vice-Chair Levinson seconded the motion.

Discussion: None.

Vote: By a unanimous vote, the motion carried.

Commissioner Individual Reports on Commission Business
Chair Sheehan reported that she, Vice-Chair Levinson, and EO Yuen met with Col. John Bates, USMC (Ret.) on November 26, 2018, who remains adamant that he would like to have his permit and is exploring legal options. During the meeting, Chair Sheehan explained they understand his frustration, but the Commission cannot assist him with obtaining his permit. Col. Bates also asked Chair Sheehan when the HPD was last audited. Chair Sheehan informed him that an audit it is public information and would have probably be done by the City and County’s Department of Finance, but she was not sure. Chief Ballard informed commissioners the HPD was audited by the City Auditor who publishes the audits.

Vice-Chair Levinson said he had additional information to share during the executive session because some things may require consultation with counsel.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Discussion on Creation of Rules or Policies for the Discipline of the Chief of Police
Commissioner Okinaga informed commissioners that after reviewing the City Charter and Chair Sheehan’s notes provided to commissioners, she did draft guidelines, and is consulting with Corporation Counsel on the framework of the guidelines. Once everything is complete, she will present them.

Review of HPD Policies and Regulations Governing HPD’s Responses to HRS Ch. 134 Applications for Permits to Carry Concealed and Unconcealed Weapons
Chair Sheehan said this item is reoccurring on the agenda because it is unknown when the Attorney General will complete its review, and asked if there was anything new to report. Chief Ballard said she had no new information to report.

Vice-Chair Levinson asked if there was any update on when the working group would have work product to disclose. It is his understanding that the group consists of the Attorney General and county chiefs of police and corporation counsels and is tasked with creating uniform guidelines and standards for all county police departments that would be applied in connection with applications for permits to carry concealed or unconcealed. Chief Ballard did not have any new information for commissioners on the working group.

Resolution of Complaint by Corporal Denny Santiago
EO Yuen informed commissioners he has not spoken with Corporal Santiago since his interview, completed the investigation, and the majority of his complaint dealt with truthfulness during a MVC. Chair Sheehan then said the complaint by Corporal Santiago did not involve Chief Ballard. She then thanked Chief Ballard for her follow-up memo and asked Chief Ballard to review the memo.
Resolution of Complaint by Corporal Denny Santiago (Continued)

Chief Ballard then said that in the interest of transparency the entire investigation was forwarded to the FBI. The Public Corruption Unit reviewed Corporal Santiago’s entire complaint including statements. Upon completion of the investigation, the FBI informed HPD that after an extensive review of the allegations no further action would be taken.

Chair Sheehan then informed EO Yuen that if Corporal Santiago wants to meet with him, he can and that the Commission has done its due diligence. She then posed a question—should commissioners make a decision similar to the review of citizen complaints against officer and decide if the complaint should be sustained, not sustained, exonerated, or unfounded. Chair Sheehan then reminded commissioners that the Commission’s authority is narrow toward the chief of police and there has been no mention of Chief Ballard from Corporal Santiago.

Vice-Chair Levinson stated the Commission’s administrative rules govern the way complaints are processed and formally lodged by members of the public against police officers and allege various types of misconduct, are investigated, and considered by commissioners with a decision of sustained, not sustained, exonerated, or unfounded. It is not clear to him whether Corporal Santiago’s concerns is the kind of complaint that the Commission’s rules address and he (Corporal Santiago) was not following the procedure described in the Commission’s rules.

Vice-Chair Levinson then said if it was Corporal Santiago’s intention to bring his concerns to the Commission’s attention on matters and issues that he was concerned with, he has done so and it would not require any formal action described in the rules. Chair Sheehan agreed but her concern is the Commission has the responsibility to supervise the chief of police. Under Cravalho v. Kauai Police Commission it is the Commission’s responsibility to discipline the chief of police, and the reason commissioners listened to Corporal Santiago is because commissioners are trying to ascertain if he has a complaint about the chief of police. She agreed with Vice-Chair Levinson that commissioners do not have to necessarily follow the same rules for public complaints against officers, but they need to address the Commission’s obligations to the City Charter.

Vice-Chair Levinson added that what Corporal Santiago has presented to the Commission goes in the general hopper of information received concerning the chief of police, and is something to think about when evaluating the Chief Ballard. Chair Sheehan then said she feels Corporal Santiago is entitled to a response from commissioners to which Vice-Chair Levinson agreed.

Executive Officer’s Report

EO Yuen reported there are 65 complaints registered to as of December 3, 2018. Twelve cases are pending. Nineteen cases have been referred to the Professional Standards Office, and one complaint was withdrawn. As far as the investigator vacancies, one of the two selectees has withdrawn. Chair Sheehan asked if commission investigators were provided with training outside the ethics and sexual harassment training. EO Yuen said that since he has been with the Commission he has attended training provided by the City Department of Human Resources.

EO Yuen reported, the HPD Third Quarter Awards Ceremony will be held on December 12, 2018, at 10:30 a.m.
EXECUTIVE SESSION
At 3:22 p.m., Commissioner Alivado made a motion to enter into executive session to review agenda items pursuant to HRS 92-5(a), subsections (2), (4), (5), (6) and (8): to consider the hire, evaluation, dismissal, or discipline of an officer or employee or of charges brought against the officer or employee, where consideration of matters affecting privacy will be involved; to consult with its attorneys on questions and issues pertaining to the Board’s powers, duties, privileges, immunities and liabilities; to investigate proceedings regarding criminal misconduct; to consider sensitive matters related to public safety or security; to deliberate or make a decision upon a matter that requires the consideration of information that must be kept confidential pursuant to state or federal law, or a court order.

Vice-Chair Levinson seconded the motion.

Discussion: None.

Vote: By a unanimous vote, the motion carried.

RETURN TO OPEN SESSION
The Commission returned to the open session at 4:34 p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENTS
Chair Sheehan announced the next meeting Honolulu Police Commission will be on December 19, 2018.

ADJOURNMENT
At 4:35 p.m., Commissioner Alivado made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Gibson seconded the motion.

Discussion: None.

Vote: By a unanimous vote, the motion carried.
e-Citation Program Updates

Traffic Division
Honolulu Police Department
Background

• $112,350 Traffic Records Grant (State DOT)
• BFS procurement
• Thin Blue Line Reporting
Old Process

TVB provides HPD with citation books (4 sets)

HPD stops motorist for violation.

HPD issues hand-written citation (no evidence collection capabilities)

Citations + Tally forwarded to TVB

“Tag Tally” checked and signed by Sgt.

HPD creates “Tag Tally”

Citation manually entered into JIMS by TVB

Citation scanned by TVB and attached in JIMS

Motorist can pay citation or request court
New Process

HPD issues and locks citation using iPad and portable printer

HPD stops motorist for violation.

"Tag Tally" checked and signed by Sgt.

Citations + Tally forwarded to TVB (citation printed then sent)

Citation scanned by TVB and attached in JIMS

Citation manually entered into JIMS by TVB

Motorist can pay citation or request court

Grant-funded iPad and portable printer contain 3/4 sets of citations
Ultimate Goal

**State-funded iPad and portable printer contain all citations**

- HPD stops motorist for violation.
- HPD issues and locks citation using iPad and portable printer
  - Motorist can pay citation or request court immediately
  - Citations sent electronically directly to TVB and flows into JIMS
    - "Tag Tally" generated automatically and sent to RMS

- Historical citation data is available to guide enforcement
- Geo-tagged citation data is available IRT
## Phases One and Two Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Phase One</th>
<th>Phase Two</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$28,386</td>
<td>$78,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Users</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divisions</td>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td>Patrol + Traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Period</td>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>9 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Urban Honolulu</td>
<td>Urban Honolulu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Phase One Results

3 Solo Bikes, 3 NEU Officers, 3 PECS Officers

• 71 Days (8-27 to 11-7-18)
• 21 Warnings
• 708 Citations (Infraction / Criminal)
• 2,286 Citations (Parking)
• 4.35% of all citations received by TVB
Benefits – Speed at Roadside

- 76% completed under 3 min

This improves further when issuing DTI/DTC combos
Benefits – Accuracy of Data

- Paper citations dismissed - 3
- e-Citations dismissed - 0

Not a big factor due to the low number of overall cancellations

e-Citations not adding to dismissals
Benefits – Speed of Data Entry

TVB Averages to enter into JIMS:

• Paper Citation – 7.95 days
• e-Citation – 2.22 days

Clerks want to enter e-Citations (vs paper) because handwriting is not an issue
Phase Two
Patrol – 35 officers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D – 1</th>
<th>D – 6</th>
<th>D – 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Watch</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Watch</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Watch</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All Training Complete
All Users Currently Active
13 days – 423 citations
Phase Two
Traffic – 35 officers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solo Bike</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEU</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PECS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td><strong>35</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Training Scheduled for December 10-11, 2018*
Phase Three

- Legislation
- Appropriation
- Grant Funding
- Future Funding
Challenges & Outcomes

December 5, 2018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Honolulu PD</th>
<th>Kauai PD</th>
<th>Maui PD</th>
<th>Hawaii PD</th>
<th>Statewide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eligible SAK thru July 1, 2016</td>
<td>1,106</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>1,512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Act 207:

- Testing of at least 500 untested sexual assault kits by December 31, 2016.
- By July 1, 2018 complete the testing of all untested sexual assault kits pursuant to policies established by the Attorney General.
- Beginning July 1, 2017, submit all new sexual assault kits for testing pursuant to policies established by the Attorney General.
Project Dynamics (HPD):

- Contracts and contract awards
- Inventory and ship evidence
- Maintain vendor timelines and address concerns
- Review vendor issued reports
- Review and upload DNA profiles
- Manage CODIS "hits"
- Overall program management
Challenges & Outcomes

December 5, 2018

Thank You